



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,193	05/11/2001	Greta Van Den Berghe	6296.204-US	5893
23650	7590 03/18/2005		EXAM	INER
NOVO NORDISK, INC.			KAM, CHIH MIN	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
+	PRINCETON, NJ 08540			
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/853,193	VAN DEN BERGHE, GRETA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chih-Min Kam	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of t tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) May will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 <i>January</i> 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL .	· /				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practi	ice under <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,7-14,22-29 and 32-85</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,7-14,47-61 and 74-85</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,22-29,37-39,45,46,72 and 73</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>32-36,40-44 and 62-71</u> is/a	·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	cuon and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any obje	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '			
_		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 1.	documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority					
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies		en received in this National Stage			
application from the Internatio * See the attached detailed Office actio	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	of received			
See the attached detailed Office action	in for a list of the certified copies ho	ot received.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/24/05. 		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
l.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050317			

Application/Control Number: 09/853,193 Page 2

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed January 24, 2005 under 37 CFR
 1.114 is acknowledged. An action on the RCE follows.

Status of the Claims

2. Claims 1, 4, 7-14, 22-29 and 32-85 are pending.

Applicant's amendment and response filed January 24, 2005 are acknowledged, and applicants' response has been fully considered. Claims 1, 4, 7, 22 and 23 have been amended, claims 5, 6, 30 and 31 have been cancelled, and new claims 32-85 have been added. Therefore, claims 1, 4, 7-14, 22-29 and 32-85 are examined.

Rejection Withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The previous rejection of claims 1, 4-14 and 22-31, under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim, applicant's cancellation of the claim, and applicant's response at page 10 in the amendment filed January 24, 2005.
- 4. The previous rejection of claims 4-14, under 35 U.S.C.112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim, applicant's cancellation of the claim, and applicant's response at pages 11-12 in the amendment filed January 24, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,193 Page 3

Art Unit: 1653

5. Claims 1, 22-28, 37-39, 45-46 and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Malmberg *et al.* (J. Am. Coll. Cardio. 26, 57-65 (1995)).

Malmberg *et al.* teach the use of insulin-glucose infusion followed by multidose insulin treatment in diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction for three months or longer (Table 4), where infusion of glucose and insulin was carried out in the patients according to the protocol (Table 1) to maintain the blood glucose in the target range of 7 (corresponding to 126 mg/dl) to 10.9 mmole (about 196 mg/dl). For patients in the infusion group, blood glucose decreased from 15.4 ± 4.1 to 9.6 ± 3.3 mmol/l (corresponding to 113 to 232 mg/dl), and at hospital discharge, blood glucose decreased to 8.2 ± 3.1 mmol/l (corresponding to 92 to 203 mg/dl; Table 3; claims 1, 22-28, 37-39, 45-46 and 72-73). The blood glucose level of the patient can decrease to 113 or 92 mg/dl with the treatment, and the diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction have a high mortality rate are critically ill patients, which meet the criteria of the claims.

6. Claims 1, 22-25, 27-29, 37-39, 45-46 and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Shangraw *et al.* (Metabolism 38, 983-989 (1989)).

Shangraw *et al.* teach insulin infusion is used in septic patients and patients with severe burn injury, where the plasma glucose levels of patients maintain between 80 to 120 mg/dl (page 985, right column; Fig. 3; claims 1, 22-25, 27-29, 37-39, 45-46 and 45-46 and 72-73). Since the claim does not identify the disease in the critically ill patient, and the septic patients and patients with severe burn injury are treated as critically ill patients, which meet the criteria of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/853,193

Art Unit: 1653

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

7. Claims 1, 22-25, 27-29, 37-39, 45-46 and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Case *et al.* (Crit. Cure Nurs. Q 22, 75-89 (February 2000)) in view of Gutierrez *et al.* (U. S. Patent 5,885,980).

Case *et al.* teach hyperglycemia is a frequently encountered problem when feeding critically ill patients, whether related to stress or to underlying diabetes mellitus, and subcutaneously administered regular and NPH insulin are used to achieve control; and it is suggested that insulin is administered to maintain the blood glucose concentration less than 200 mg/dl as the target range (page 86). However, Case *et al.* does not disclose the specific target range for blood glucose concentration. Gutierrez *et al.* teach the normal glucose levels are between 90 and 110 mg/dl, which is the target range for effective treatment of diabetes (column 5, lines 46-64; Example 1). At the time of invention was made, it would be obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art is motivated to use an insulin regimen to lower the blood glucose concentration in critically ill patients as taught by Case *et al.* to a target range of 90-110 mg/dl as taught by Gutierrez *et al.* (claims 1, 22-25, 27-29, 37-39, 45-46 and 72-73) because the blood glucose level of 90-110 mg/dl is a normal concentration for effective treatment of diabetes. Thus, the combined references result in the claimed invention and was, as a whole, prima facie obvious at the time the claimed invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,193 Page 5

Art Unit: 1653

8. Claims 32-36, 40-44 and 62-71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusions

9. Claims 1, 22-29, 37-39, 45-46 and 72-73 are rejected; claims 32-36, 40-44 and 62-71 are

objected to; and it appears claims 4, 7-14, 47-61 and 74-85 are free of art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached at 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chife

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

CMK

March 17, 2005